

**GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji –Goa

Tel No. 0832-2437908/2437208 email: [spio-gsic.goa@nic.in](mailto:spio-gsic.goa@nic.in) website: [www.gsic.goa.gov.in](http://www.gsic.goa.gov.in)

---

**Appeal No.155/2020/**

Dr. D. J. De Souza,  
C/o. Luz Lab ,  
Libania Bldg., New Market,  
Margao Goa.

.....Appellant

V/S

1. Public Information Officer,  
The Sub Divisional Police Officer,  
Opp. Azad Maidan, Police Headquarters,  
Panaji – Goa .

2. First Appellate Authority,  
Supt. of Police (North),  
North District Headquarters,  
Porvorim, Goa 403521

.....Respondents

**Shri. Vishwas R. Satarkar**

State Chief Information Commissioner

**Filed on: 01/10/2020**

**Decided on: 12/08/2021**

**FACTS IN BRIEF**

1. The Appellant herein by his application dated 14/02/2020 filed under section 6(1) of the Right to Information Act 2005 (Act for short) interalia sought information from the Respondent PIO, the Sub Divisional Police Officer, Panaji Goa, on whether the inquiry is completed and the report thereof with FIR, alongwith the final status of Complaint.

The application was transferred to APIO under sec 6(3) of the RTI Act.

2. The PIO replied on 05/03/2020 stating that the information sought by the Appellant is under enquiry at Agacaim Police Station.

Not satisfied with the said reply the Appellant filed First Appeal before Respondent No. 2, Superintendent of Police, North Goa, being the First Appellate Authority.

3. The First Appellate Authority (FAA) by order dated 13/07/2020 dismissed the said appeal for the reason that the information is collected and the say of PIO is upheld. Aggrieved with the said order of FAA, Appellant landed before this Commission by way of Second Appeal under sec 19(3) of the Act.
4. After notifying the parties, the Respondent No. 1, PIO filed his reply on 25/03/2021. According to PIO, information sought under RTI application dated 14/02/2020 was already provided to Appellant vide letter No. SDPO/PAN/RTI-56/84/2020 dated 05/03/2020 through PI, Margao Police Station. The PIO also produced the letter dated 10/10/2020 (Exh. 3) signed by one Roque Pereira, H.C.3874, Agacaim Police Station, wherein he has furnished the enquiry report conducted by Agacaim Police Station.
5. During the course of hearing the Appellant submits that at that moment he is satisfied with the reply and, he does not have any grievance against the PIO as the construction material dumped by unknown person in his property has been removed with the intervention of Agacaim Police Station. He also submits that he does not want to proceed with the matter and his application may be treated as argument and accordingly appropriate order in the matter may be passed.
6. In the aforesaid circumstances, I find that the appeal is infructuas, since the information is already furnished to the Appellant, and intervention of this Commission is not required. The same is thus required to be disposed off accordingly, which I do with the following:-

# **ORDER**

The appeal stand dismissed.

Proceedings closed.

Pronounced in the open court.

Notify the parties.

Sd/-

**(Vishwas R. Satarkar)**

State Chief Information Commissioner